#### **REMARKS**

In view of the following remarks, reconsideration of the rejections is requested.

## I. Telephone Interview

The Applicants would like to thank Examiner Zahr for granting and conducting a telephone interview on December 29, 2010 in connection with the above-identified application.

During the interview, the Examiner agreed that the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection has been overcome by the previous amendment to claim 19, which requires a memory.

In view of the above, the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection discussed in detail below is no longer applicable.

# II. Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 22 has been identified as being allowable. Claim 22 remains unchanged and, as a result, is in condition for allowance.

# III. 35 U.S.C. § 101 Rejection

Claims 19-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for reciting limitations that can be interpreted as software alone. As explained during the telephone interview discussed above, claim 19 recites that the screen information saving section <u>includes a memory</u>, which is more than software alone.

Since claim 19 requires a memory, which is hardware and thus requires more than software alone, and as agreed upon during the telephone interview, this 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection

is not applicable to independent claim 19 and claims 20 and 21 that depend therefrom.

Therefore, withdrawal of this 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection is respectfully requested.

## IV. Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, it is submitted that the present application is now in condition for allowance and an early notification thereof is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Ken YAMASHITA et al.

/Andrew L. Dunlap/

By 2011.01.21 12:50:54 -05'00'

Andrew L. Dunlap Registration No. 60,554 Attorney for Applicants

ALD/led Washington, D.C. 20005-1503 Telephone (202) 721-8200 Facsimile (202) 721-8250 January 21, 2011